UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

of herself, all others similarly	
situated	CASE NO3:15-cv-03272 (HSG)
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Tuesday Morning, Inc.	
Defendant(s).	_/
Counsel report that they have r following stipulation pursuant to Civil	met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the	following ADR process:
Court Processes:	
Non-binding Arbitratio Early Neutral Evaluatio Mediation (ADR L.R. 6	on (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably more likely to meet their i	ly settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an ille this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for cal Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process:	
Private ADR (please ia	lentify process and provider) Provider is to be
determined.	
The parties agree to hold the ADR ses	sion by:
	ne The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
	parties anticipate attempting to schedule private ADR at least is filing of her motion for class certification.
Dated: 9/22/15	_/s/ Shaun Setareh
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 9/22/15	/s/ Gary D. Friedman
	Attorney for Defendant

Case 4:15-cv-03272-HSG Document 21 Filed 09/22/15 Page 2 of 2

	[PROPOSED]	ORDER
--	------------	--------------

The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9/22/2015

Haywool S. July .

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."